

REISSNER–NORDSTRÖM TYPE BLACK HOLES IN DILATON–AXION GRAVITY

D. V. Gal'tsov¹ and P. S. Letelier²

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada – IMECC

Universidade Estadual de Campinas

13081 Campinas, S.P., Brazil

Abstract

A $2p + 5$ parametric family of black holes is constructed in dilaton–axion gravity with p vector fields using a holomorphic representation of U–duality in three dimensions. The metric of the non–extremal black holes has a Reissner–Nordström type structure and generically possesses an internal horizon. However in the extremal limit the generic solution exhibits a dilatonic type null singularity. Only in the case of the orthogonal electric and magnetic charges (if $p > 1$) the extremal solution may have a non–singular event horizon.

PACS: 11.17.+y; 04.20.Jb; 04.40.+c; 98.80.Cq.

Black holes in theories including dilaton and axion fields (N=4 supergravity, low–energy heterotic string effective action) has attracted recently much attention. Static black holes in N=4 supergravity were discussed some time ago by Gibbons [1] and Gibbons and Maeda [2] who found that the dilaton changes substantially the nature of a charged black hole, transforming it from the Reissner–Nordström to “dilatonic” type with no internal horizon. This solution was rediscovered in [3] in a particularly simple gauge making this property explicit. It was realized later that if the axion is

¹Permanent address: Department of Theoretical Physics, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, 119899, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: galtsov@grg.phys.msu.su

²e-mail: letelier@ime.unicamp.br

switched off, the *dyon* solutions may still have a Reissner–Nordström structure [4]. To be consistent with N=4 supergravity, one has to assume that electric and magnetic charges belong to different $U(1)$ sectors, the axion being non excited. When the axion *is* excited, the situation becomes more complicated. Recently it was found that in the dilaton–axion gravity with multiple vector fields there exist extremal solutions of the Israel–Wilson–Perjes type [5] similar to well-known solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell theory. However, general spherically–symmetric black hole solutions to dilaton–axion gravity with multiple vector fields seem not to be given so far apart from the BPS limit.

Here, using the solution–generating technique based on the Ehlers–Harrison form of symmetry transformations [6], we find a very simple form of the general static solution which has the metric of the Reissner–Nordström type with “variable mass”. It interpolates between the usual Reissner–Nordström and dilatonic solutions to which it reduces in different particular cases.

The action we are dealing with is

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left\{ R + \frac{2\nabla z \nabla \bar{z}}{(z - \bar{z})^2} - 2\text{Re} \left(iz \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \mathcal{F}^{a\mu\nu} \right) \right\} \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where $z = \kappa + ie^{2\phi}$ is the complex axidilaton field, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = (F_{\mu\nu}^a + i\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a)/2$, $\tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu\nu\lambda\tau}F_{\lambda\tau}^a$, $a = 1, \dots, p$ describe the set of p $U(1)$ vector fields. We use the standard ansatz for the line element of the stationary spacetime metric

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = f(dt - \omega_i dx^i)^2 - \frac{1}{f}h_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (2)$$

where the three–space metric h_{ij} , the covector ω_i , ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$), and the scalar f depend on the space coordinates x^i only. The vector fields give rise to the sets of electric v^a and magnetic u^a potentials via

$$F_{i0}^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_i v^a, \quad \tilde{F}_{i0}^a = \frac{e^{2\phi}}{\sqrt{2}}(\kappa\partial_i v^a - \partial_i u^a). \quad (3)$$

The resulting three–dimensional theory is equivalent to the gravity–coupled σ –model possessing a Kähler target manifold $SO(2, 2+p)/SO(2) \times SO(1, 1+p)$. Holomorphic coordinates include the axidilaton z , the set of complex electromagnetic potentials Φ^a and the generalized Ernst potential E [7]:

$$\Phi^a = u^a - zv^a, \quad E = if - \chi + v^a \Phi^a, \quad (4)$$

where χ is the twist (NUT) potential. Here and in what follows a sum from 1 to p is assumed over repeated $SO(p)$ indices. The isometry group of the target manifold can be used to generate the solutions to the theory (1) starting with solutions to the vacuum Einstein equations. Here we derive a fully $SO(2, 2 + p)$ covariant counterpart of the Schwarzschild solution, describing generic spherically symmetric black holes in the dilaton–axion gravity with multiple vector fields.

The total set of $(p^2 + 7p + 12)/2$ $SO(2, 2 + p)$ transformations can be decomposed as follows. There are $2p$ gauge transformations fixing $v^a(\infty) = 0$, $u^a(\infty) = 0$; two transformations ensuring an asymptotic flatness $f(\infty) = 1$, $\chi(\infty) = 0$; $(p^2 - p)/2$ elements of the residual $SO(p)$ invariance; and the rest of $2p + 4$ transformations which may bring new parameters to the solution (as we will see one of them is still a gauge parameter which is worth to be kept free). Together with the mass M_0 of the seed Schwarzschild metric this gives the maximal number of $2p + 5$ free parameters. These can be conveniently chosen as two charge $SO(p)$ vectors μ^a , ν^a , the mass M , two parameters b, c defining one of the scalar charges (or any their combination) and the NUT charge, and the asymptotic values of the dilaton ϕ_∞ and axion κ_∞ .

Magnetic charges μ^a are introduced via a (magnetic) Harrison transformation subject to asymptotic conditions $z(\infty) = E(\infty) = i$, $\Phi^a(\infty) = 0$:

$$E \rightarrow E\Pi^{-1}, \quad z \rightarrow z\Pi^{-1}, \quad \Phi^a \rightarrow \{\Phi^a + \mu^a(\Lambda + 1)\}\Pi^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where $\Pi = 1 + 2\mu^a\Phi^a + \mu^2\Lambda$, $\Lambda = zE + (\Phi^a)^2$, $\mu^2 = (\mu^a)^2$. Similar electric charging transformation reads:

$$E \rightarrow (1 - \nu^2)^{-1} (E + 2\nu^a\Phi^a - \nu^2 z), \quad z \rightarrow (1 - \nu^2)^{-1} (z - 2\nu^a\Phi^a - \nu^2 E),$$

$$\Phi^a \rightarrow \Phi^a + \nu^a(1 - \nu^2)^{-1} (E + 2\nu^b\Phi^b - z), \quad (6)$$

where $\nu^2 = (\nu^a)^2$. An arbitrary NUT charge is generated by the Ehlers transformation, while one of the scalar charges can be produced using an analogous element of the S -duality subgroup. The combined transformation including two real parameters c, b (the first responsible for NUT, the second for a scalar charge) reads

$$E \rightarrow \{(E - c)\Pi_1 + b(1 + c^2)(\Phi^a)^2\}(1 + cE)^{-1}\Pi_1^{-1},$$

$$z \rightarrow (z + c\Lambda - b - bcE)\Pi_1^{-1}, \quad \Phi^a \rightarrow \Phi^a(1 + c^2)^{1/2}(1 + b^2)^{1/2}\Pi_1^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

where $\Pi_1 = 1 + c\Lambda + bz + bc\Lambda$. Finally, free asymptotic values of the dilaton and axion are generated by

$$z \rightarrow e^{-2\phi_\infty} z + \kappa_\infty, \quad \Phi^a \rightarrow e^{-\phi_\infty} \Phi^a, \quad (8)$$

with E unchanged.

In order to covariantize the Schwarzschild solution with respect to the maximal subgroup of the three-dimensional U -duality group, preserving an asymptotic flatness, we apply all these transformations (in the above order) to the seed Schwarzschild metric $f_0 = 1 - 2M_0/r_0$, $h_{rr} = 1$, $h_{\theta\theta} = h_{\varphi\varphi} \sin^2 \theta = f_0 r_0^2$. The resulting solution in terms of complex potentials reads:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \{(1 - f_0) [2q(1 + bc) + n(b - c)] + im(\tilde{n}_f - bc n_f) - mn(b + c f_0)\} G^{-1}, \\ z &= \kappa_\infty - e^{-2\phi_\infty} \{2q(1 - f_0)(1 + bc) + n(c\tilde{m}_f + b m_f) - im(n_f - bc\tilde{n}_f)\} G^{-1}, \\ \Phi^a &= e^{-\phi_\infty} (1 + c^2)^{1/2} (1 + b^2)^{1/2} (1 - f_0) (\mu^a n + \nu^a (2q - im)) G^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \mu^a \nu^a, \quad n = 1 - \nu^2, \quad m = 1 - \mu^2, \\ m_f &= 1 - \mu^2 f_0, \quad n_f = 1 - \nu^2 f_0, \quad \tilde{m}_f = f_0 - \mu^2, \quad \tilde{n}_f = f_0 - \nu^2, \\ G &= 2q(1 - f_0)(c - b) + im(bn_f + c\tilde{n}_f) + n(m_f - bc\tilde{m}_f). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This solution satisfies the asymptotic flatness condition $E(\infty) = i$ and is normalized so that $\Phi^a(\infty) = 0$, $\phi(\infty) = \phi_\infty$, $\kappa(\infty) = \kappa_\infty$. It is suitable, in particular, to probe recent suggestions by Gibbons, Kallosh and Kol [8]. We do not, however, intend to discuss this in the present paper, so, for simplicity, we set $\phi_\infty = \kappa_\infty = 0$ in what follows.

Now let us define complex charges $\mathcal{M} = M + iN$, (mass and NUT), $\mathcal{D} = D + iA$, (dilaton and axion), $\mathcal{Q}^a = Q^a + iP^a$ (electric and magnetic), via the asymptotics

$$E \sim i \left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{r}\right), \quad z \sim i \left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{D}}{r}\right), \quad \Phi^a \sim -i \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{Q}^a}{r}, \quad (11)$$

where r is some radial variable (coinciding with r_0 asymptotically). From (9) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \frac{M_0}{mn} e^{2i\varphi_c} (\mu^2 \nu^2 - 2iq - 1), \quad \mathcal{D} = \frac{M_0}{mn} e^{2i\varphi_b} (\nu^a + i\mu^a)^2, \\ \mathcal{Q}^a &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}M_0}{mn} e^{i(\varphi_b + \varphi_c)} (m\nu^a + i(n\mu^a + 2q\nu^a)), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\varphi_c = \arctan(1/c)$, $\varphi_b = \arctan(1/b)$. Hence c rotates mass and NUT parameter, while b rotates dilaton and axion charges. Both rotations are accompanied by suitable transformations of electric and magnetic charges. Independently on the choice of c and b the following holomorphic relation between complex charges holds

$$\mathcal{D} = -\frac{(\mathcal{Q}^a)^2}{2\mathcal{M}}. \quad (13)$$

This is a covariant (with respect to the full U -duality group) generalization to many-vector case of the well-known relation between scalar and vector charges in dilaton-axion gravity [6]. The new feature now is that, by a suitable choice of b , one can always arrange, say, for a zero value of the axion (dilaton) charge. This does not contradict to the fact that scalar charges are determined by the values of vector charges since the latter will also be affected by the choice of b . Similarly, by an appropriate choice of c one can annihilate the NUT charge N or mass M of the solution. Note that c -transformation does not affect the scalar charges, but it does change vector ones to comply with (13).

In view of (13), the total number of independent Coulomb charges defining our solution is $2p + 2$, namely, M, N, Q^a, P^a . Since the solution can be regarded as a harmonic map to a symmetric space, it should be uniquely determined by the charges. Note, that no relation between $2p+3$ parameters M_0, μ^a, ν^a, c, b follows from (13), which is merely an identity in terms of the parametrization (12). Thus one of parameters M_0, μ^a, ν^a, c, b is pure gauge, the natural choice being b . It is, however, convenient to keep b as a free parameter because this provides a simple way to construct solutions with a desired value of one of scalar charges.

The metric function f entering the line element (2) depends on the parameter c and *does not* depend on b :

$$f = f_0 m n (1 + c^2) F^{-1}, \quad F = m_f n_f + c^2 \tilde{n}_f \tilde{m}_f + 2c q (1 - f_0^2). \quad (14)$$

Also, as could be expected, f depends on electric and magnetic charge parameters ν^a, μ^a only through three $SO(p)$ invariants μ^2, ν^2, q . This reflects the fact that by a suitable $SO(p)$ rotation one can always choose, say, the vector ν^a to have only one non-zero component, then using the residual $SO(p-1)$, preserving the direction of ν^a , one can annihilate $p-2$ components of μ^a , so only three of $2p$ components are essential (the rest $SO(p-2)$ being pure gauge). Note, that the denominator F of f is a quadratic function of f_0 . Since f_0 has one zero, this means that in the resulting solution g_{00} generally will have two zeroes, thus exhibiting the Reissner-Nordström like behavior. To write down the metric explicitly one has to choose coordinates in the new solution. For dilaton black holes (non-dyons) the particularly simple choice of coordinates [3] is $f = 1 - 2M/r$, $g_{\theta\theta} = r(r - r_-)$ where r_- is the position of the singularity. No such simple gauge is possible generally for (14), when the solution is expected to have two horizons. Therefore it is more natural now to choose the curvature (Schwarzschild) coordinates fixed by the condition $g_{\theta\theta} = r^2$, so that the singularity is at $r = 0$. Since the three-metric h_{ij} in (2) is not affected by the transformations, one has the following

condition relating r_0 in the seed solution and r : $r_0^2 f_0 = r^2 f$. Using (14) one finds

$$r_0 = \rho + \sqrt{\sigma^2 + r^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{r_0^+ + r_0^-}{2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{r_0^+ - r_0^-}{2}, \quad (15)$$

where $r_0^\pm$ are roots of the equation $F(r_0) = 0$:

$$r_0^\pm = \frac{M_0}{mn(1+c^2)} \left(2\alpha - \beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma} \right), \quad (16)$$

with

$$\alpha = c^2 - 2cq + \mu^2\nu^2, \quad \beta = (\mu^2 + \nu^2)(1 + c^2), \quad \gamma = 1 + 2cq + c^2\mu^2\nu^2. \quad (17)$$

In curvature coordinates the transformed metric look similarly to the Reissner–Nordström solution with a coordinate–dependent mass:

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2Mg}{r} + \frac{C}{r^2} \right) (dt - 2Nd\varphi)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2Mg}{r} + \frac{C}{r^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (18)$$

Here the mass function $g(r)$ (asymptotically normalized to unity) is

$$g = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{r^2}}, \quad (19)$$

while the physical mass M of the solution and the “charge” parameter C read:

$$M = \frac{M_0 \{ (1 - c^2)(1 - \mu^2\nu^2) + 4cq \}}{mn(1 + c^2)}, \quad C = \sigma^2 + \rho(\rho - 2M_0). \quad (20)$$

This metric describes a *generic* spherically–symmetric black hole in dilaton–axion gravity with multiple vector fields ($N = 4$ supergravity if $p = 6$). Asymptotically it is Reissner–Nordström, but now the metric function f has a modified short–range behavior. The behavior at the singularity $r = 0$ is similar to that of the Reissner–Nordström metric: Kretschmann scalar diverges as r^{-8} .

Our new solution (14) interpolates between the standard Reissner–Nordström–NUT solution ($\sigma = 0$), and the dilaton black hole solution [1, 3], to which it reduces when

$$r_0^+(r_0^- + 2M) = 0. \quad (21)$$

In this latter case, passing to a new radial variable $r_1 = r_0 - r_0^-$ and introducing a parameter $r_- = 2\sigma$, one obtains

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r_1} \right) (dt - 2Nd\varphi)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r_1} \right)^{-1} dr_1^2 - r_1(r_1 - r_-) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (22)$$

Now let us discuss a proper black hole case $N = 0$. This corresponds to the following value of c :

$$c = -\frac{1 - \mu^2\nu^2}{2q} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1 - \mu^2\nu^2}{2q}\right)^2}, \quad (23)$$

provided $q \neq 0$ (and $c = 0$ for $q = 0$). One can use an inverse equation to express q as a function of c , keeping in mind that c in the resulting expressions has a fixed value (23). Then

$$C = \frac{2M^2 \{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)(1 + \mu^2\nu^2)(1 - c^2)^2 + 4(c^2 - \mu^2\nu^2)(1 - c^2\mu^2\nu^2)\}}{(1 + c^2)^2(1 - \mu^2\nu^2)^2},$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{M^2 \{(1 - c^2)^2(\mu^2 + \nu^2)^2 + 4(c^2 - \mu^2\nu^2)(1 - c^2\mu^2\nu^2)\}}{(1 + c^2)^2(1 - \mu^2\nu^2)^2}. \quad (24)$$

A particularly simple form parameters of the solution take when $q = 0$, what means that either one of two $SO(p)$ vectors ν^a , μ^a vanishes, or they are orthogonal. Then the solution is NUT-less if $c = 0$. In the one-vector case $q^2 = \mu^2\nu^2$, so this means that the solution is non-dyon. In this case (21) is satisfied, and we recover the metric (22). Another interesting case (if $p \neq 1$) is $\mu^2 = \nu^2$, $q = 0$ (symmetric dyon). Then $\sigma = 0$ and the metric is *pure* Reissner-Nordström.

Generically the solution (18) has two horizons, $r^\pm$, provided $\mu^2\nu^2 < 1$, $c^2 < \mu^2\nu^2$:

$$r^\pm = \frac{2M\sqrt{mn(1 - c^2)}}{(1 + c^2)(1 - \mu^2\nu^2)}\lambda^\pm, \quad \lambda^+ = \sqrt{1 - c^2\mu^2\nu^2}, \quad \lambda^- = \sqrt{\mu^2\nu^2 - c^2}, \quad (25)$$

and possesses a spacelike singularity. It is therefore not expressible in the “dilaton” form (22), and rather is similar to the usual Reissner-Nordström metric. Somewhat surprisingly, in the extremal limit the *generic* solution is “dilaton”. The extremality limit corresponds to one or both μ^2 , ν^2 approaching unity. Using (12) one can show that the BPS bound will be then saturated indeed

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 + |\mathcal{D}|^2 - |\mathcal{Q}|^2 = 0, \quad (26)$$

and it is worth noting that the BPS limit corresponds to vanishing M_0 , that is, to the *vacuum* seed solution.

If $q \neq 0$, and one of two parameters μ^2 , ν^2 approaches unity (the other still remaining arbitrary) then both $r^\pm$ tend to zero, and we observe typical behavior of the extremal dilaton black hole (null singularity). However if $q = 0$, (what in the NUT-less

case implies $c = 0$), there are two possibilities. If one of parameters μ^2, ν^2 tends to unity and another not, we have the same situation. But if $\mu^2 = \nu^2$ and both approach unity, then in the BPS limit

$$r^+ = r^- = M, \quad (27)$$

that is the radius of the horizon remains finite. Thus, in the extremal limit, the generic behavior is *dilatonic*, only a restricted class $q = 0, \mu^2 = \nu^2 = 1$ of black holes has a regular horizon. Note that this latter option is only possible when the number of vector fields $p > 1$. BPS solutions in the dilaton–axion gravity with multiple vector fields were discussed recently in detail by Kallosh *et al.* [5], general BPS solutions in the $p = 1$ case were given in [9]. It was also discovered that in the theories with moduli fields more complicated black hole metrics appear [10] which deviate both from the Reissner–Nordström and dilatonic black hole solutions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by FAPESP and CNPq, Brazil. D.G. is grateful to Departamento de Matematica Aplicada, IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas for hospitality during his visit. The work of D.G. was also supported in part by the RFBR Grant 96–02–18899.

References

- [1] G.W. Gibbons, Nucl.Phys. **B207**, 337 (1982).
- [2] G.W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. **B298**, 741 (1988).
- [3] D. Garfinkle, G.T. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3140 (1991); **D45**, 3888 (**E**) (1992).
- [4] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, T. Ortin, A. Peet, and A. van Proyen, Phys. Rev. **D 46**, 5278 (1992).
- [5] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh, and T. Ortin *Stationary Axion/Dilaton Solutions and Supersymmetry*, Preprint UG-3/96, SU-ITP-19, CERN-TH/96-106, hep-th/9605059.
- [6] D.V. Gal'tsov and O.V. Kechkin, Phys. Rev. **D50** 7394 (1994); D.V. Gal'tsov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2863 (1995); D.V. Gal'tsov and O.V. Kechkin, Phys. Lett. **B361** 52 (1995).

- [7] D.V. Gal'tsov, *Square of General Relativity*, A talk at the First Australasian Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation, Adelaide, February 12–17, 1996, to be published in the Proceedings, DTP–MSU 96/14, gr-qc/9608021.
- [8] G. Gibbons, R. Kallosh, and B. Kol, *Moduli, Scalar Charges, and the First Law of Black Hole Thermodynamics*, SU–ITP–96–35, hep-th/9607108.
- [9] G. Clément and D. Gal'tsov, *Stationary BPS solutions to dilaton–axion gravity*, Preprint GCR–96/07/02, DTP–MSU/96–11, hep-th/9607043.
- [10] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *General Static Spherically Symmetric Black Holes of Heterotic String on a Six Torus*, IASSNS–HEP–95–107, hep-th/9512127; M. Cvetič and A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. **D 53**, 5619 (1996); M. Cvetič and A. Tseytlin, *Non–Extreme Black Holes from Non–Extreme Intersecting M–branes*, DAMTP/R/96/27, Imperial/TP/95–96/52, hep-th/9606033; M. Cvetič and C.M. Hull, *Black Holes and U–Duality*, DAMTP/R/96/31, QMW-96–12, hep-th/9606193.